

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JORGE PARAMO MORA,

Petitioner

v.

THOMAS McGINLEY, *ET AL.*,

Respondents

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:18-CV-2232

(Judge Caputo)

M E M O R A N D U M

I. Introduction

Petitioner, Jorge Paramo Mora, an inmate confined at the Coal Township State Correctional Institution (SCI-Coal Township), in Coal Township, Pennsylvania, filed the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#). He challenges a 2014 conviction imposed by the Chester County Court of Common Pleas. (ECF No. 1). Named as respondents are Thomas McGinley, SCI-Coal Township's Superintendent, and the Pennsylvania State Attorney General. Mr. Mora has paid the \$5.00 filing fee. For the reasons outlined below, the petition will be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

II. Discussion

In states with two or more federal judicial districts, a state prisoner may file a habeas petition in one of two federal district courts: (1) the federal judicial district where the prisoner is in custody; or (2) the federal judicial district where the state court of conviction is located. [28 U.S.C. § 2241\(d\)](#). Each of those districts have "jurisdiction to

entertain the petition.” *Id.* Section 2241(d), however, also provides that a district court “in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice,” may transfer a petitioner’s § 2254 petition to “the district court for the district within which the State court was held which convicted and sentenced [the petitioner].” 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Additionally, 28 U.S.C. § 1404 vests a district court with the authority to transfer any civil action, “for the convenience of the parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice,” to any district where the action might have been brought. 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).¹

In this case, Mr. Mora is currently incarcerated at SCI-Coal Township, which is located in Northumberland County, which is within the territorial boundaries of the Middle District of Pennsylvania. In his petition, he challenges the validity of his conviction which was obtained in the Chester County Court of Common Pleas, which is located within the territorial boundaries of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. See 28 U.S.C. § 118. Since the trial court, as well as any records, witnesses and counsel, are located within the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the Court will transfer this action to the Eastern District.

An appropriate order follows.

Date: January 31, 2019

/s/ A. Richard Caputo

A. RICHARD CAPUTO
United States District Judge

¹ Because habeas proceedings are generally considered civil in nature, *Hilton v. Braunskill*, 481 U.S. 770, 776, 107 S.Ct. 2113, 2119, 95 L.Ed.2d 724 (1987), the term “civil action” includes habeas petitions. *Parrott v Government of Virgin Islands*, 230 F.3d 615, 683 (3d Cir. 2000).